* !	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/642,847	ABUTALEBI ET AL.
Lammer-mudica mervion cammary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Jamal	2614
All Participants:	Status of Application: per	nding
(1) <u>Alexander Jamal</u> .	(3)	l
(2) <u>Geza Ziegler (44004)</u> .	(4)	l
Date of Interview: 29 January 2008	Time: <u>12pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
it res, provide a brief description.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	·	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
•		
Weendon Con		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner spoke with applicant's atty. and requested an explanation as to how the spec enables the various combinations of whitening processes in a single embodiment. Applicant's atty. said he would contact the examiner at a later time but no further communication was received.